IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DECEMBER 2007 / 22ND AGRAHAYANA 1929

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 4453 of 2007()

CRIME NO. 136/2007 of CHERPU POLICE STATION
CRL.M.P.NO. 2347/2007 IN CRL. M.C. NO. 990/2007 of SESSIONS COURT, THRISSUR

.....

REVN. PETITIONERS/ACCUSED:
---

1. MINI RAMESH, AGED 36 YEARS,
W/O. RAMESH, PUTHANPURAKKAL HOUSE,
KIZHUPILLIKARA DESOM AND VILLAGE,
THRISSUR TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

2. RAMESH, AGED 39 YEARS, S/O. BALAN,
PUTHANPURAKKAL HOUSE,
KIZHUPILLIKARA DESOM AND VILLAGE,
THRISSUR TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.NAGARAJ NARAYANAN
SRI.SAIJO HASSAN
SRI.RAJAN VELLOTH
SRI.SABU SREEDARAN

RESPONDENTS:

STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI. K.S. SIVAKUMAR, PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR , J

==========

CRL.R.P. NO. 4453 of 2007

==========

Dated this the 13th day of December, 2007.

ORDER

The revision petitioners, who are the accused in crime No. 136/2007 of Cherpu police station for offences punishable under Sections 409 and 420 IPC, were granted anticipatory bail by the Sessions Judge, Thrissur as per order dated 22.05.2007 in Crl. M.C. No. 990/2007. But, under the guise of the said order, the petitioners were obstructing the smooth investigation of the case and they did not produce the documents which were at their disposal. The 1st petitioner, who is a lady, is the president of the Co-Operative Society in question and the 2nd petitioner is her husband. On account of the non production of the documents of which the 1st petitioner is the custodian in her capacity as the President, the investigation came to a stand still. It was at this stage that the Public Prosecutor moved an application before the Sessions Judge seeking cancellation of the bail and as per Annexure A2 order dated 15.09.2007, the Sessions Judge cancelled the bail. The result of the impugned order is that the petitioners are liable to be arrested and questioned by the Investigating Officer. Hence, without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to move for regular bail, this revision is dismissed.

V. RAMKUMAR, JUDGE.

rv